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Introduction

Medical research over the past few decades has indicated the importance of exercise for a person’s well-being and long term health, and there has been a resultant focus on creating a lifestyle that includes an exercise routine. Medical advances in treatment of injuries and diseases have similarly placed great importance on the use of therapy techniques to help patients recover from health issues or to maintain their current state of health. This essay will address the issues that may arise when these activities coincide with Shabbat, as well as the principles of hilchot Shabbat that must be applied in such situations.

Source and Definition of the Prohibition

The Mishnah\(^1\) writes that one may not be mit-amel on Shabbat. There is a dispute among the Rishonim as to what the Mishnah is referring to in this prohibition. Rashi\(^2\) explains that one may not rub his body vigorously (le-shafshef ba-

---

2. Shabbat 147a s.v. aval. See also Ran, Shabbat 62b in the dapei Ha-Rif; Rabbeinu Ovadya Bartenura Shabbat 22:6, s.v. aval.
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ko'ach); it would seem that according to Rashi, the issur refers to something akin to a massage. Rambam,\(^3\) however, maintains that the prohibition forbids exercise in order to sweat.\(^4\)

**Reason for the Prohibition**

Rashi\(^5\) maintains that hit-amlut is an *uvda de-chol*, weekday activity, which *Chazal* prohibited. If the reason for the prohibition is *uvdin de-chol*, as Rashi suggests, there are certain instances in which the prohibition would not apply. In cases of tza'ar (pain), mitzvah,\(^6\) and great loss\(^7\) many poskim assume that there is no *issur* of *uvdin de-chol*.\(^8\)

Rambam\(^9\) assumes that it is forbidden because exertion in order to sweat is a form of *refuah*, which *Chazal* forbade lest we come to *shechikat samimonim*, grinding spices to create medications (thus violating the *melacha* of tochein).\(^10\) If the reason for the prohibition is *shechikat samimonim*, as Rambam suggests, then there are also certain instances in which the *gezeira* does not apply. *Chazal* only applied

---


4 The Tosefta (*Shabbat* 17:16) seems to clearly endorse Rambam's opinion, as it states that one may not run on Shabbat in order to be mit-amel. In context, sweating and physical exertion fit better than massage.

5 *Shabbat* 147a, s.v. ve-lo; Tosafot Yom Tov, *Shabbat* 22:6. Chemdat Zvi 22:17 suggests that Rashi maintained that mit-amel is prohibited because of *uvdin de-chol* because the cases immediately preceding and following in the Mishnah are prohibited because of *uvdin de-chol*. It is difficult to assume that in between two cases of *uv-din de-chol* the Mishnah brought a case that was forbidden because of *refuah*.

6 See Bach 333; Bi"ur Halacha 333:1, s.v. *ve-chol shevut*; Mishnah Berura 254:35 and Machazeh Eliyahu 72:1.

7 Shu"t Bach (*Yeshanot*) 146 and Machazeh Eliyahu 72:2.

8 Magen Avraham 328:48; Mishnah Berura 328:136.

9 *Hilchot Shabbat* 21:28; Rabbeinu Chananel 147b; Aruch, erech amel.

10 *Shabbat* 53b and Rashi, s.v. gezeira.
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the prohibition of \textit{refuah} to someone with a mild ailment, not in cases of a \textit{bari},\footnote{Shulchan Aruch 328:37.} one who is completely healthy, or a \textit{choleh}, one who is truly ill.\footnote{Ramo 328:37; Mishnah Berura 328:121.}

\textbf{Shulchan Aruch's Opinion}

Shulchan Aruch\footnote{Tur likewise quotes both opinions.} quotes the opinions of both Rashi and Rambam. In 327:2 Shulchan Aruch writes, “He should not rub himself vigorously (with oil) but should do it gently.” This follows the view of Rashi. In 328:42,\footnote{Shulchan Aruch (328:42) follows Rambam's view and forbids exercise as a form of \textit{refuah}. Later (328:43) he notes that certain therapeutic actions are permitted because they have no connection to the grinding of \textit{samimonim}. Why is exercise different, given that it has nothing to do with the making of medicine? Taz (328:28) explains that \textit{samimonim} are sometimes used to induce a \textit{choleh} to sweat. Exercise, which serves the same purpose, is therefore connected in some way to medicine and we are still concerned about \textit{shechikat samimonim}. R. Yisroel Pinchas Bodner (\textit{Halachos of Refuah on Shabbos} Feldheim Publishers, p. 27 nt. 70) notes that nowadays we do not have medications that induce sweat, thus exercise should be permitted. He writes, however, that no contemporary \textit{poskim} seem to have permitted such activities based on this reasoning.} Shulchan Aruch writes, “One may not be \textit{mit-amel}, which is to strain his body vigorously in order to tire himself and perspire.” In this halacha, Shulchan Aruch follows the view of Rambam.

There is a dispute among the \textit{acharonim} as to how to understand the opinion of Shulchan Aruch. Tehilla Le-Dovid\footnote{328:70*. Beit Yosef 328:42, Bach 328:18 and Machatzit Hashekel 328:46 note that Tur and Shulchan Aruch seem to have accepted both opinions. See also Shevet Halevi 8:84.} suggests two possibilities. One is that Shulchan Aruch strictly follows both opinions. Therefore, Shulchan Aruch does not allow a vigorous rub of the body (like Rashi’s view) or exercise in order to sweat (like Rambam’s view). The oth-
er possibility is that Shulchan Aruch believes that Rashi and Rambam agree regarding the halacha\textsuperscript{16} and disagree merely regarding the explanation of the Mishnah.\textsuperscript{17} According to these two explanations, Shulchan Aruch’s view is to accept both opinions regarding the final halacha.

Be’ur Halacha\textsuperscript{18} writes that Shulchan Aruch contradicted himself by quoting both opinions, which are opposing views. He notes that many poskim\textsuperscript{19} seem to follow the opinion of Rambam. Thus, in commenting on siman 327 (when talking about rubbing the body vigorously),\textsuperscript{20} Mishna Berura notes that Shulchan Aruch’s view is following Rashi (whom, as he mentions in his Be’ur Halacha is not the followed opinion in this matter), whereas Rambam, (whose opinion is followed) would allow a vigorous rub of the body. According to this view, only the opinion of Rambam is accepted as final halacha.

**Exercising for Pleasure**

The permissibility of or prohibition against exercise on Shabbat must take into account the reason for the exercise. Many people exercise because even though they are cur-

\textsuperscript{16} Shiltei Gibborim (62b in dapei Ha-Rif #2 quoted by Magen Avraham 328:46) understands that Rashi and Rambam disagree regarding the halacha. He writes that while Rashi and Tur are of the opinion that one may only rub the body softly, according to Rambam, one may even rub the body vigorously.

\textsuperscript{17} It seems that Shulchan Aruch agreed with Rambam regarding the explanation of the Mishnah because in 328:42, Shulchan Aruch writes that it is forbidden to be mit-amel, and then proceeds to define it. In 327:2, Shulchan Aruch merely states the law without mentioning hit-amlut.

\textsuperscript{18} 328:42, s.v. kedei based on Be’ur Ha-Gra 327:2.


\textsuperscript{20} S”k 7.
rently healthy they want to stay in shape, lose weight and improve their physical appearance or because they enjoy the movement.\textsuperscript{21} It would seem that this type of exercise would be comparable to \textit{maachal beri'im}, foods eaten by healthy people, which may be consumed by a healthy person.\textsuperscript{22} Thus, a healthy person would be permitted to exercise.

**Exercising for Health Reasons**

If the person is a \textit{choleh she-ein bo sakana} (one who is ill but not dangerously so), exercise is permitted because the decree of \textit{shechikat samimonim} was not instituted in a case of \textit{choleh}. If however one is exercising in order to alleviate aches or pains this could be prohibited due to the decree of \textit{shechikat samimonim}.

R. Shlomo Zalman Auerbach maintains that the exercise that was forbidden by Shulchan Aruch is not comparable to exercise which is performed nowadays.\textsuperscript{23} Shulchan Aruch speaks of difficult exercise, such as strenuous workouts for patients who need to remove fluid from their

\begin{itemize}
\item There are two \textit{girs\'ot} of Rambam (\textit{Hilchot Shabbat} 21:28). In one, he writes that it is forbidden for a \textit{choleb} to exercise, implying that it would be permitted for a \textit{bari} (healthy person). In the second \textit{girs\',} the reference to a \textit{choleb} does not appear, perhaps indicating that exercise would be forbidden even for a \textit{bari}. Levush writes that this \textit{issur} applies to a \textit{choleb,} and Eliya Rabba (328:48) infers from this that exercise would be permitted for a \textit{bari} (healthy person), although his \textit{girs\'} of the Rambam did not limit it to a \textit{choleb}. Tur quotes Rambam with the \textit{girs\'} of \textit{choleb} and thus limits the prohibition to one who is ill. \textit{Hagahot Ve-He-arot} #74 (\textit{Arba Turim Ha-Shalem,} Mosdot Shirat Devora Edition, Machon Yerushalayim) notes that most old texts have a \textit{girs\'} of Rambam that includes the word \textit{choleb}. The difference between the various manuscripts of Rambam has obvious implications for the permissibility of exercise for a \textit{bari} on Shabbat.
\item Shulchan Aruch 328:37.
\item \textit{Shulchan Shlomo, Hilchot Shabbat, siman} 328 nt. 110. R. Nissim Karelitz, \textit{Chut Shani} vol. 4, p. 221 #2, assumes that our exercise, which is meant to strengthen muscles and not to sweat, could be considered similar to rubbing with vigor, Rashi’s definition of \textit{hit-aumlut}, and is therefore still forbidden.
\end{itemize}
lungs. Today, many people enjoy exercise because it allows them to move around and stretch, similar to the case of young men who are permitted to run and jump on Shabbat provided that they enjoy it.24

Even in instances where exercise would be prohibited because of its healing element, there still may be an exception. R. Shlomo Kluger25 permits a person to continue taking medicine on Shabbat if it was prescribed for consecutive days and the regiment was begun beforehand. We are not concerned about shechikat samimonim if a person knows in advance that he will need medicine, as he can prepare before Shabbat. We are only concerned if he finds out on Shabbat itself that he needs the medicine because in that case he might become distressed and forget that it is forbidden to grind the spices. According to this opinion, any exercise that is performed for health reasons and was started on a daily basis before Shabbat would be permitted to continue on Shabbat. It should be noted that many poskim disagree with the opinion of R. Kluger.26

---

24 See Shulchan Aruch 301:2.

25 Sefer Ha-Chaim 328:37; Kuntres Chayei Nefesh, ch. 6; Sh”ut Shenot Chaim 152:4 and addition at the end of the teshuva. R. Kluger cites this as a “margala be-fumei de-inshi,” a common saying. Many poskim follow R. Kluger’s opinion. See Shemirat Shabbat Ke-Hilchata 34:19 and nt. 76 (see also the emendation of R. Auerbach in vol. 3 of Shemirat Shabbat Ke-Hilchata); Chazon Ish (quoted in Imrei Yosher, Mo”ed 97, Orchos Rabbeinu vol. 1, #214 p. 155 and Dinim Ve-Hanhatot 15:1); R. Yosef Shalom Elyashiv, Kovez Teshuvot 1:40:2; and R. Eliezer Waldenburg, Tzitz Eliezer 8:15:15:15-17, 12:45:5-6. Az Nidberu 1:31:5 considers this opinion of R. Shlomo Kluger as a possible leniency to be used in combination with other possible leniencies.

26 See Maharsham (Da’at Torah 328:37), R. Moshe Feinstein (Iggerot Moshe, Orach Chaim 3:53), and R. Moshe Stern (Be’er Moshe 1:33:7). See also Avnei Yashfeh 1:90:3; Shraga Ha-Me’ir 2:40; Emek Halacha 24; Ohr Le-Tzion 2:36:9; and Be-Yitzchak Yikarei 321:18, who all take stringent views.
Running

At this point, it would seem that running for health reasons would be forbidden unless one accepts the view of R. Kluger (which many do not) or the view of R. Aurebach (limited to those light exercises which he permitted), whereas running for pleasure or to improve appearance (by losing weight) would be permitted. There is, however, another issue related to running on Shabbat. Shulchan Aruch\(^\text{27}\) prohibits running on Shabbat unless it is for the purpose of a mitzvah, such as running to shul. The reason is based on Shabbat 113a, which states that a person’s traveling during Shabbat should not be like his travel on weekday. Shulchan Aruch also writes,\(^\text{28}\) however, that people who enjoy jumping and running may do so on Shabbat.\(^\text{29}\)

The poskim write that one may also run to escape the rain or other inclement weather.\(^\text{30}\)

---

27 301:1.
28 301:2.

29 Magen Avraham (301:3) writes that the Shulchan Aruch’s opinion is le-chatchila (ideal), although he notes that Bach maintains that it is be-dieved and ideally should not be relied upon. Mishna Berura (301:5) quotes the opinion of Magen Avraham. See Sh”ut Maharshag 2:93, who writes about a situation where school teachers wanted students to come to school on Shabbat and run. His response was that the leniency of Shulchan Aruch regarding running was only in a case where the person enjoys running, not when they are forced to run. Sha’ar Ha-Tziyun (301:9) notes that there is a dispute between Taz and Magen Avraham regarding whether or not it would be permissible to run in order to develop an appetite. Taz (301:1) is strict while Magen Avraham (301:5) would seem to be lenient. Sha’ar Ha-Tziyun remains in doubt as to whom the halacha follows.

30 Minchat Shabbat 301:3; Shevet Ha-Levi 1:58; Be-Yitzchak Yikareh (R. Avigdor Nevenzal) 301:6. R. Nevenzal questions whether it is permitted to run in order to prevent one’s clothing from getting wet.
Walking

Ramo\textsuperscript{31} writes that it is permitted to take walks on Shabbat. Magen Avraham\textsuperscript{32} notes that this is permissible even if the intent is for exercise and warming up for \textit{refuah}, whereas running (in a case that would otherwise be permitted), is prohibited if the intent is such.\textsuperscript{33} Mishna Berura,\textsuperscript{34} however, quotes Eliya Rabba, who maintains that if the intent were to exercise for \textit{refuah} then even walking would be prohibited.\textsuperscript{35}

Massage

R. Yechiel Yaakov Weinberg\textsuperscript{36} writes that whether or not massage should be permissible on Shabbat should de-

\textsuperscript{31} Ibid. See \textit{Tosefta}, \textit{Shabbat} 17:16. The \textit{Tosefta} writes that one may not run on Shabbat in order to be \textit{mit-amel}, but one may walk normally all day and need not worry. Chasdei Dovid interprets the \textit{Tosefta} to be speaking of a case of running to do a \textit{mitzvah}, reasoning that had it not been a case of \textit{mitzvah}, running would be permitted based on \textit{Shabbat} 113a, which states that a person’s traveling on Shabbat should not be like their traveling during the week. The \textit{Tosefta} must therefore be discussing a case in which running would have otherwise been permitted (such as running to do a \textit{mitzvah}) and is only prohibited because of the intention to be \textit{mit-amel}. Minchas Bikkurim assumes that the reason running was prohibited in the \textit{Tosefta} was only because there was intention to sweat, which is considered \textit{refuah}. However, running for pleasure is permitted. Minchas Bikkurim must thus explain the \textit{gemara} in \textit{Shabbat} differently or not \textit{paskin} according to it.

\textsuperscript{32} 301:5.

\textsuperscript{33} Magen Avraham’s comment is based on the \textit{Tosefta}, \textit{Shabbat} 17:16 (see note 32 above). Magen Avraham questions why running is evaluated differently in a case in which one enjoys running. He suggests that perhaps the case of running under discussion is one in which the person has intent for \textit{refuah}, in which case it would be prohibited. It would seem that according to Magen Avraham, running for pleasure with no intention of \textit{refuah} would be permitted.

\textsuperscript{34} Mishna Berura 301:7. It would seem that a brisk walk for pleasure, which does not induce sweat, would therefore be \textit{muttar} even according to this opinion.

\textsuperscript{35} R. Binyamin Zilber (\textit{Az Nidberu} 4:38:2) suggests that taking a walk after a meal to let food digest would be considered part of the eating process rather than exercise and would therefore be permitted even according to Eliya Rabba.

\textsuperscript{36} Seridei Aish 2:30; Nishmat Shabbat vol. 5, #216.
pend on the dispute between Rashi and Rambam regarding the prohibition of hit-amlut. As noted above, Rashi and other Rishonim interpret the prohibition of being mitamel as referring to vigorously rubbing the body. Presumably, this is a reference to a massage. It would seem that a soft rub would not be considered halachically problematic (although it remains unclear what is defined as “soft” and “vigorous”). However, Rambam, Rabbeinu Chananel, and the other Rishonim, who explain the Mishnah as referring to forms of exercise, do not have a source that prohibits massages on Shabbat (See above for a discussion of whom the halacha follows).

Because of the opinion of Rashi that such rubbing is considered an uvda de-chol, some poskim assume that massage is forbidden.\(^\text{37}\) In cases of pain or discomfort, however, many poskim\(^\text{38}\) assume that the prohibition of uvdin de-chol does not apply. Thus, if a person is very sore and a massage would help alleviate some pain, it would no longer be considered a forbidden uvda de-chol.\(^\text{39}\)

However, even if the massage is not uvda de-chol, there might be concern for the gezeira of refuah; since alleviating pain can be accomplished through pain relief medication, we are therefore concerned about shechikat samimonim.\(^\text{40}\) R. Ben-Tzion Abba Shaul permits massages on Shabbat for someone who has aches as long as there is no intent to

---

37 Ketzot Hashulchan 138:10; Seridei Aish 2:30; Rivevot Efraim 1:222:1; Nishmat Avraham Orach Chaim 328:95. See also Shulchan Aruch 327:2.
38 Magen Avraham 328:48; Mishnah Berura 328:136. According to Mishnah Berura mentioned earlier, we follow the view of Rambam and therefore this would not be problematic.
39 See Yesodei Yeshurun vol. 5, p. 388.
40 See Taz 328:28.
His reasoning is based on a statement of Mishna Berura that any *refuah* that does not have substance (*ein bo mamash*) like food or drink is not included in the *gezeira* of *shechikat samimonim* and is therefore permitted. Thus, massage would not be included in the *gezeira* of *refuah*.

**Physical Therapy**

Now that we have established the halachic issues regarding exercise on Shabbat, we will apply these principles to performing physical therapy on Shabbat. Physical therapy may be required for numerous reasons, and it is necessary to determine the reason that the physical therapy is needed in order to understand the various opinions regarding the halachic status of such an act on Shabbat.

Physical therapy is often prescribed after a stroke or some form of paralysis. In such a case, the goal of therapy is to retrain the body to perform certain basic functions. In other cases, physical therapy is administered when muscle strengthening is necessary, such as following surgery or an accident.

Some **poskim** assume that physical therapy is permitted on Shabbat regardless of the situation. They argue that the *issur refuah* on Shabbat only applies to administering medication, as *Chazal* were concerned about *shechikat samimonim*.

---

41 Ohr Le-Tzion 2:36:11.
42 Mishna Berura 306:36.
43 See, however, Az Nidberu 2:63 who interprets the Mishna Berura’s example of food and drink as natural refuah as opposed to homeopathic refuah. According to this understanding, if massage therapy were accepted as a form of refuah (as he notes that exercise is) it would be prohibited according to Mishna Berura.
44 Or Le-Tzion 2:36:12; Avnei Yashfeh 5:70:4. R. Karelitz, Chut Shani vol. 4, p. 221, writes that therapy would only be permitted in a case of tzur.
mimonim. In a case where no such concern exists, refuah is permitted. Physical therapy does not involve taking any medications (nor can any of the results of physical therapy be produced by medications) and would therefore be permitted on Shabbat.

Some poskim maintain that physical therapy is permitted only for a choleh she-ein bo sakana, one who is ill but not dangerously so (and certainly in cases of sakanat eiver, threat to a limb, and choleh she-yesh bo sakana, dangerous illness). They argue that even if the issur refuah applies to cases in which we are not concerned for shechikat samimonim, the gezeira does not apply to a choleh she-ein bo sakana. Therefore, it is permissible for a person to perform therapy in order to recover from a stroke or surgery or for other necessary strengthening.

Even if physical therapy is not prohibited because of refuah, there still is an issue regarding the discomfort it causes. Physical therapy may be recommended for a person recovering from a broken limb. This therapy consists of rotating and flexing the injured limb, which can cause discomfort. Magen Avraham (quoting Rambam and Semag) writes that on Shabbat, one may not drink something that causes diarrhea, even though it is therapeutic, because

45 See Taz 328:28, Eliya Rabba 328:49 and Mishnah Berura 328:130. See, however, Bach 328:18.
47 See Be-Ikvei Hatzon siman 10, where R. Hershel Schachter suggests that the definition of a choleh she-ein bo sakana refers to someone who cannot function properly in his or her current state. This definition would seemingly include many instances in which physical therapy is necessary.
48 Magen Avraham 328:49.
it is painful and we are commanded to enjoy Shabbat. Rotating the injured limb causes pain and therefore may be forbidden on Shabbat even if the refuah issue is resolved.49

**Occupational Therapy**

Occupational therapy is often administered to help refine fine-motor skills and to train or retrain hand muscles. A handgrip, i.e. a heavy coil spring attached to two handles, is used to strengthen hand muscles. The poskim discuss whether using such a device is permissible on Shabbat. A choleh she-ein bo sakana who must exercise with this device every day without exception would clearly be permitted to use it. What about someone who is not a choleh?

Tzitz Eliezer50 is lenient for multiple reasons. Use of a handgrip does not induce sweat, nor does it tire the one who is using it. He argues that its use is not uvda de-chol and that it is not avsha milsah, an object that is obviously intended for forbidden use (since it is a small device, many or most people would not know why it is being used). Moreover, it is used by healthy people and would therefore be permitted based on the leniency of Shulchan Aruch regarding ma’achal beri’im.51

The reasoning of Tzitz Eliezer is debatable.52 It is unclear why using such a piece of equipment is not considered uvda de-chol, weekday activity, as it is something that is generally used for strengthening, just like any other piece of

---

49 See Assia 4, p. 49-57 for a discussion of this issue.
51 Shulchan Aruch 328:37.
52 See Avnei Yashfeh 5:70:3. Chemdat Tzvi 22 disagrees with Tzitz Eliezer as well.
equipment. Avnei Yashfeh\textsuperscript{53} notes that one needs to squeeze hard in order for the handgrip to be effective. This would be comparable to Rashi’s definition of hit-amlut, rubbing vigorously, and would thus be classified as \textit{uvda de-chol}.

**Speech Therapy**

Tzitz Eliezer discusses a person who was told that he would be unable to speak clearly until he performed certain breathing exercises for an extended period of time. His doctor prescribed these exercises to be performed every day without exception. R. Waldenburg permits such exercises on Shabbat.\textsuperscript{54} One reason for R. Waldenburg’s leniency is that such an individual should be treated like a \textit{choleh she-ein bo sakana}, as he is unable to function in a regular manner.\textsuperscript{55} As such, the \textit{gezeira} of \textit{shechikat samimonim} does not apply and there is no reason to forbid such an action. It would seem that such an individual would also be entitled to the status of \textit{sakanat eiver}. R. Chaim Naeh writes that any situation in which a limb is not functioning properly and will not start working until it is treated medically is assigned the status of \textit{sakanat eiver}.\textsuperscript{56} It would seem that an inability to communicate properly without speech therapy would warrant such a status.\textsuperscript{57}

\begin{footnotes}
\begin{enumerate}
\item Avnei Yashfeh ibid.
\item Tzitz Eliezer 12:45. See also Nishmat Shabbat vol. 5, #440; Yalkut Yosef vol. 4, p. 172. R. Nissim Karelitz (Chut Shani vol. 4, p. 152) writes that such exercises are neither \textit{refuah} nor \textit{uvdin de-chol}, and there is thus no reason for them to be prohibited.
\item See Be-Ikvei Hatzon siman 10.
\item Ketzot Ha-Shulchan, Badei Ha-Shulchan 138:18, quoted in Shemirat Shabbat Ke-Hilchata 33:1:5*, nt. 8.
\item There is a ramification between the two classifications: a Jew may perform a \textit{shevut} (Rabbinic prohibition) on behalf of a \textit{choleh she-ein bo sakana} only with a \textit{shinui} (variation in behavior), while a \textit{shinui} is not required in a case of \textit{sakanat eiver}. See Shulchan Aruch 328:37 and Mishna Berura 328:57.
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotes}
R. Waldenburg further writes that there is no intent to sweat in performing such exercises. He also notes that Shulchan Aruch\textsuperscript{58} permits therapeutic activity that cannot be accomplished with medications when a person is in pain or discomfort and argues that this case is comparable. Another reason given by R. Walbenburg to be lenient in this case is based on the \textit{chiddush} of R. Shlomo Kluger mentioned above that if one started medications before Shabbat, they may be continued on Shabbat. Since the patient began speech therapy before Shabbat, he may continue his exercises on Shabbat as well.

R. Waldenburg’s final reason to be lenient in this instance is based on a \textit{chiddush} of Kol Sofer\textsuperscript{59} that any \textit{refuah} that will not have an immediate effect but will rather only be noticeable after an extended period of time is permitted on Shabbat. These breathing exercises must be performed for consecutive days and there is no immediate result.

It should be noted that every case of therapy must be evaluated individually in order to determine the necessity of the therapy and the applicable halachic principles. R. Waldenburg’s \textit{teshuva} discussed a specific situation, which may not be relevant to every case of speech therapy.

\textbf{Kedushat Shabbat}

Ramban writes\textsuperscript{60} that although the Torah prohibited us from performing certain acts on Shabbat, one would still

\textsuperscript{58} Shulchan Aruch 328:43.

\textsuperscript{59} \textit{Shabbat} #68. Divrei Yisroel (R. Weltz) 101, 103 relies on this opinion, although it is unclear what the Kol Sofer’s source is. According to this line of reasoning, an argument could be made that many types of therapy are permitted on Shabbat.

\textsuperscript{60} \textit{Vayikra} 23:24, based on \textit{Mechilta, Parshat Bo} 9.
be able to check his grains, weigh his fruits, and perform other such activities without violating a prohibition. The Torah therefore commands the concept of shevuṭ, Rabbinic decrees, which limits our activities so that Shabbat can truly be a day of rest.

R. Avrohom Danzig (author of Chaye Adam) writes that it is actually the yetzer hara, the evil inclination, who convinces us to be metayel on Shabbat, even though it is a permissible form of enjoyment. R. Danzig writes that we should eat, drink, and sleep to some extent on Shabbat, but the greatest enjoyment for one’s soul is the learning of Torah and performance of mitzvot. He notes that people who spend their week earning a livelihood should be especially vigilant to spend time learning on Shabbat because this is one’s true life.

When discussing issues of physical exertion and activity on Shabbat, we must bear this in mind. Shabbat is meant to serve as a day of rest, devoted to holy endeavors and not to the mundane activities of the week. It behooves us to evaluate whether exercise and other such activities are appropriate for Shabbat and conducive to the atmosphere that we wish to create, even in cases where they are technically permissible.

Conclusion

This article has attempted to present the various opinions regarding exercise and therapies on Shabbat as it relates to both healthy and non-healthy people. Besides the technical halachic issues, we must bear in mind the sanctity of Shabbat and the purpose of the holy day. In its merit we should always be able to exercise in a preventative manner, not needing therapies to recover from illness.

61 Zichru Torat Moshe, siman 10.